

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/469,987	12/21/1999		MARK L. SKARPNESS	10559/094001	5632	
8791	7590	06/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY 12400 WILS		OFF TAYLOR &	NGUYEN, PHU	NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA		
SEVENTH F		oce vind	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGEI	ES, CA	90025-1030		2665		

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO 90C (Rev 10/03)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Astice Commons	09/469,987	SKARPNESS, MARK L.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Phuongchau Ba Nguyen	2665				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status			,				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ja	nuary 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 20-23 and 32-39 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>20-23 and 32-39</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/469,987 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 20, 22, 32, 36-39 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eames (6,208,637) in view of Roger (6,346,964).

Regarding claims 20, 32, 36-39:

Eames (6,208,637) discloses a telephony over broadband telephony adapter (combined data and telephony 1604, fig.16b);

comprising:

a device controller (1622, fig.16b) to couple to a host controller (1700) of a broadband modem (ADSL modem 1600, fig.17);

a modulator (code 1640, fig.16b) to modulate telephony information;

a transcoder (1638, fig.16b) to transcode information between said device controller and said modulator; and

one or more subscriber line interface circuits (SLIC 1640, fig.16b) to couple one or more telephony devices (to telephone via RJ11) to said modulator; and

a memory device (flash 1634-fig.16b) which connected to the device controller (1622);

Application/Control Number: 09/469,987

Art Unit: 2665

wherein the one or more telephony devices are able to communicate over a broadband line (180, figs.16) via the broadband modem (1602) by communicating via the host controller (1700) of the broadband modem (1600).

Eames does not explicitly (1) a memory device (flash 1634-fig.16b) to store one or more telephone numbers that are to be serviced by the broadband telephony adapter, wherein the device controller (1622) separates information associated with the one or more telephone numbers, and wherein the one or more telephone numbers are associated with the one or more telephony devices; (2) wherein a new telephone number maybe added to be serviced by the broadband telephony adapter by programming the new telephone number into the memory device; and (3) wherein the separated information associated with the one or more telephone numbers is forwarded to the one or more telephony devices associated with the one or more telephone numbers.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Roger (6,346,964) discloses in figure 2 "telephone numbers associated with each party can be stored in a memory associated with controller 257-fig.2 for reference. A displayable address book can be provided to store names, addresses and other information such as a digitized bitmap image of each user,"(corresponding to (1-3)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to provide high quality audio, data and video teleconferencing between two or more telephone users in an office connected through a telephone switch such as PBX.

Application/Control Number: 09/469,987 Page 4

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 22:

Eames further comprises an expansion hub (BDT 130, fig.3) to connect additional devices (in the new network, i.e., ATM network) to the host controller via the expansion hub.

3. Claims 21, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eames (6,208,637) in view of Roger (6,346,964) as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Barzegar (6,347,075).

Regarding claims 21, 34:

Eames does not explicitly disclose wherein said device controller (1622, fig.16b) is configured to operate in compliance with one of a Universal Serial Bus standard, a Firewire standard, and a wireless communication standard. However, in the same field of endeavor, Barzegar (6,347,075) discloses in figure 2 IEEE 1394 112 (firewire). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Barzegar's teaching to Eames' system with the motivation being to allow incorporating the desired processing digital data onto the data network.

4. Claims 23, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eames (6,208,637) in view of Roger (6,346,964) as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Bauer (6.061,450).

Regarding claims 23, 35:

Eames does not explicitly disclose wherein the telephony device is able to communicate via the host controller of the broadband modem via wireless transmission between the telephony device and the broadband telephony adapter. However, in the same field of endeavor, Bauer (6.061,450) discloses wherein the telephony device is able to communicate via the host controller of the broadband modem via wireless transmission between the telephony device and the broadband telephony adapter {figure 5, 202, 507}. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Bauer's teaching to Eames' system with the motivation being to pro

5. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eames (6,208,637) in view of Roger (6,346,964) as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Bhatia (6,118,768).

Regarding claim 33:

Eames discloses wherein the telephony adapter (1604, fig.16b) has a telephone number associated therewith (inherent with the telephone, fig.16b).

Eames does not explicitly wherein said hub circuit (Ethernet hub) routes telephony information associated with telephony adapter to the first telephony device, and routes other telephony information to the at least one additional telephony device. However, in the same field of endeavor, Bhatia (6,118,768) discloses wherein said hub circuit (Ethernet hub, 340, fig.1) routes telephony information associated with telephony adapter to the first telephony device, and routes other telephony information to the at least one additional telephony device (fig.1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2665

an artisan to apply Bhatia's teaching to Eames' system with the motivation being to bidirectionally route digital on either or both B-channels of ISDN connection between PSTN and analog telephone devices.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuongchau Ba Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3148. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 09/469,987 Page 7

Art Unit: 2665

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Phuongchau Ba Nguyen Examiner

Art Unit 2665